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#### Abstract

A five-phase two-motor drive system with series connection of stator windings and decoupled dynamic control is considered in the present paper. The two-motor drive system is supplied from a single five-phase Space Vector Putse Width Modulation (SVPWM) Voltage Source Inverter (VSI) and controlled using vector control scheme, provided that the stator windings are connected in series with appropriate phase transposition. The concept has been developed under the assumption that the inverter voltages are controlled in the stationary dqreference frame. A fuzzy logic based speed controller has been constructed and used to drive the two-motor in this work. The two-motor system, inverter system, and the fuzzy controlier models are implemented and tested using Simulink/Matlab facilities. The presented results show the validity of the model to do well for the sake of speed control under different operating conditions.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { بأستعمالل تقنية النسيطرة المحنيبة }
\end{aligned}
$$








## 1. Introduction

Ever since the inception of the first fivephase variable speed drive in 1969, fivephase machines have been considered as a
good atternative to three-phase machines. This especially holds true for high-power and safety-critical variable speed applications, where a five-phase drive can
be realized using inverters with smaller rating per $\operatorname{leg}[1,2]$. Five-phase (and multiphase in general) machines also enable an improvement in the noise characteristics of the drive, a reduction in the stator winding losses, and hence an improvement in the efficiency, and torque ripple minimization $[2,3]$.
As far as series connection of multi-phase machines is concerned, it is shown that a specific method of stator winding series connection leads to the placement of the flux/torque producing equivalent circuits of the two machines in two orthogonal and therefore mutually decoupled subspaces of the five-phase systern[2].

On the basis of considerations, mentioned in [4]: concerning the way and number of the multi-phase machines that can be connected in series for the specified number of supply phases, one can conclude that for a five-phase supply ( $n=5$ ) it is possible to connect two five-phase machines in series and supply them from a single five-phase source. By introducing an appropriate phase transposition in this series connection, it was reasoned that the two machines could be controlled completely independently, using basic vector control schemes, although they are supplied from the common five-phase source. The major advantage of such a two-motor drive system is the reduction of the number of required inverter legs, when compared to an equivalent two-motor three-phase drive system (from six to five). This translates into increased reliability, due to a smaller number of components[1].

The connection diagram for a fivephase series-connected two-motor drive is shown in Fig.1[1]. The phase transposition introduced in the series connection of the two five-phase stator windings makes flux/torque producing currents of one machine non-flux/torque producing currents for the other machine, and vice versa $[5,6]$. Capital Jetters denote the inverter phases, while lower case letters identify the motor phases, according to the spatial distribution of phases.


Fig. 1 Five-phase series-connected two-motor drive system.

Many efforts have been cartied out to analyze and control multi-phase seriesconnected motors for even[7-12] and odd $[1,2,5,13-15]$ number of phases. Ail these efforts deal with field oriented models of the series-connected motors. Also, they use indirect (feed-forward) rotor fluxoriented controllers for the sake of speed control of the used series-connected motors. The control is performed through controlling the VSi supply system.
In the present work, dq stationary reference ftame models are derived for the five-phase series-connected two-motor system under consideration and the used SVPWM VSI supply system. These models ensure full decoupling of control of the two motors.

A PD-like fuzzy + I controller will be used, instead of indirect rotor flux-oriented controller, to perform closed loop speed control of the motors.

## 2. Model of the two-motor drive

Inverter phase-to-ncutral voltages are related to individual machine phase voltages through:

$$
\overline{\mathrm{v}}^{\mathbb{N V}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{A}}  \tag{1}\\
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{B}} \\
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}} \\
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{D}} \\
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{E}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{as1}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{st2}} \\
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{bs1}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cs} 2} \\
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cs1}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{cs2}} \\
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ds1}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{bs2}} \\
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{vs51}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ds} 2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where indexes " 1 " and " 2 " identify the two macbines in Fig. 1 and index "s" stands for stator. Relationships between source currents and individual stator phase currents of the two motors are (with the aid of Fig.1):

The procedures mentioned in $[1,2,16]$ can be followed with Eqs.(1) and (2) to reach the dq stationary reference frame model of the five-phase series-connected two-motor drive:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{d}^{\mathrm{NV}}=\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s} 1}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s} 2}\right) \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{NV}}+\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ts1}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ls2}}+\right. \\
& \left.L_{m l}\right) \frac{\mathrm{di}^{\mathrm{NV}}}{\mathrm{dt}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ml}} \frac{\mathrm{di}_{\mathrm{rld}}}{d \mathrm{t}} \\
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{NV}}=\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s} 9}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s} 2}\right)_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{iNV}}+\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s} 1}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s} \cdot 2}+\right. \\
& \left.L_{m l}\right) \underset{\mathrm{dt}}{\mathrm{di}_{\mathrm{gV}}^{\mathrm{NV}}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ml}} \frac{\mathrm{di}_{\mathrm{rlq}}}{\mathrm{dt}} \\
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{NV}}=\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{sI}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s} 2}\right) \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{NV}}+\left(\mathrm{I}_{f s 1}+\mathrm{L}_{\text {/s2 }}+\right. \\
& \left.L_{m 2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{di}_{x}^{i N V}}{d t}+L_{m 2} \frac{\mathrm{di}_{12 \mathrm{~d}}}{d t} \\
& v_{y}^{i N V}=\left(R_{s 1}+R_{s 2}\right) i_{y}^{\text {NVV }}+\left(L_{s v 1}+L_{s s 2}+\right. \\
& \left.L_{m 2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{di}_{y}^{i N V}}{d t}+L_{m 2} \frac{d i_{i 2 q}}{d t} \\
& 0=R_{r l} \mathrm{i}_{r 1 d}+\left(\mathrm{L}_{m 1}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ml}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{di}_{\mathrm{rl} \mid \mathrm{d}}}{\mathrm{dt}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m} 1} \frac{\mathrm{di}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{IV}}}{\mathrm{dt}}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=R_{r i} i_{r l q}+\left(L_{t r t}+L_{m 1}\right) \frac{d i_{r l q}}{d t}+L_{m l} \frac{d i_{q}^{N V}}{d t}-\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{r 2}\left[\left(L_{j+2}+L_{m 2}\right)_{r 2 q}+L_{m 2_{y}}^{i_{y}^{N V}}\right] \\
& 0=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t} 2} \mathrm{i}_{22 \mathrm{q}}+\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{tz}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{mm} 2}\right) \frac{d i_{2 \mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{dt}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ol2}} \frac{\mathrm{did}_{y}^{\mathrm{rvy}}}{\mathrm{dt}}- \\
& \omega_{r 2}\left[\left(L_{t s 2}+L_{m 12}\right)_{r 2 d}+L_{n 22_{x}}^{i n v}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e} 2}=\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{m} 2}\left\lfloor\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{r} 2 \mathrm{~d}}{ }^{[\mathrm{INV}}-\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{r} 2 q^{2}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{INV}}\right\rfloor \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The motor-load torque equation is:
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ek}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \omega \mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{dt}}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}} \omega_{\mathrm{rk}}+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}$
where:
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{NV}}$ and $\quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{\text {NV }}=$ Inverter $\quad " \mathrm{~d}$ " and $\quad$ " $q$ " voltages.
$v_{x}^{\text {inv }}$ and $\quad v_{y}^{\text {INV }}=$ Inverter $\quad " x$ " and " $y^{\prime \prime}$ voltages.
$i_{d}^{\text {Div }}$ and $i_{q}^{\text {INV }}=$ Inverter " d " and " $q$ " currents.
$\mathrm{i}_{x}^{\mathrm{iNV}}$ and $\mathrm{i}_{y}^{\mathrm{NVV}}=$ Inverter " x " and " y " currents.
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{sk}}=$ Machine-k stator resistance.
$\mathrm{L}_{\text {lsk }}=$ Machine-k stator phase leakage inductance.
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{mk}}=2.5 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}}$.
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{k}}=$ Mutual inductance between stator phases of machine- $k$.
$\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{rkd}}$ and $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{rkq}}=$ Machine-k d and q rotor currents.
$\omega_{\mathrm{rk}}=$ Machine-k rotor angular speed.
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ck }}$ =Electromagnetic developed torque of machine- k .
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}=$ Number of poles of machine-k.
$\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{k}}=$ Moment of inertia of machine-k.
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}=$ Viscous friction constant of machine-k.
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ak}}=$ Load torque of machine-k.
$\mathrm{k}=1$ or 2 (machine-1 or machine-2).
System of Eqs.(3) and (4) represents the qd stationary reference frame model of the two series-connected motors. This model is implemented using Simulink/Matiab softwares. The motors parameters are given in the Appendix.

## 3. Space vector modulation scheme for five-phase VSX

Power circuit topology of a five-phase voltage source inverter is shown in Fig. 2. The inverter input dc voltage (2E) is regarded as being constant.
The model of the five-phase VS1 power circuit can be deveioped through analyzing inverter circuit shown in Fig.2. This leads to the following relationships between load's phase-to-neutral voltages and inverter leg voltages $[17,18]$;


Fig. 2 Five-phase voltage source inverter power circuit.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}=(4 / 5) \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{A}}-(1 / 5)\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{D}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{E}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{b}}=(4 / 5) \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{B}}-(1 / 5)\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{D}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}=(4 / 5) \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}}-(1 / 5)\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{D}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{E}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{d}}=(4 / 5) \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{D}}-(1 / 5)\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{E}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{E}}=(4 / 5) \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{E}}-(1 / 5)\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{D}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Where the inverter leg voltages ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{A}}$ to $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{E}}$ ) take the values of $\pm 0.5$ of the DC supply. The DC voltage " E " is taken to be 360 V .
Since a five-phase VSI is under consideration, one has to deal here with five-dimensional space. Hence two space vectors have to be defined, each of which will describe space vectors in one twodimensional subspace ( $\alpha \beta$ and $x y$ )[17]. The third subspace is a zero sequence space vector. This zero sequence subspace cannot be excited due to assumed star connection of the system.

Space vectors of phase voltages are defined in stationary reference frame, using power-invariant transformation, as[17,18]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{v}_{a b} & =\frac{2}{5}\left[\bar{v}_{a}+\bar{a} \bar{v}_{b}+\bar{a}^{2} \bar{v}_{t}+\bar{a}^{3} \bar{v}_{d}+\bar{a}^{4} \bar{v}_{e}\right] \\
\bar{v}_{x y} & =\frac{2}{5}\left[\bar{v}_{a}+\bar{a}^{2} \bar{v}_{b}+\bar{a}^{4} \bar{v}_{c}+\bar{a}^{6} \bar{v}_{d}+\bar{a}^{8} \bar{v}_{e}\right] \\
& =\frac{2}{5}\left[\ddot{v}_{u}+\bar{a}^{2} \bar{v}_{b}+\bar{a}^{4} \bar{v}_{c}+\bar{v}_{d}+\bar{a}^{3} \bar{v}_{e}\right] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{a}}=1 / 72^{\circ}$
Using Eqs.(5) and (6), one can write $2^{5}$ space vectors for $\alpha \beta$-subspace and $2^{5}$ space vectors for the xy-subspace. These 32 cases for each subspace represent the different combinations of the on/off states for the ten switches of the five-phase VSI. If the upper switch of the VSI is triggered, then the inverter leg voltage takes of value ' E '. While, if the lower switch is tumed on, then
a vollage of " -E " is assigned to the leg voltage.

These space vectors have four magnitudes: Large magnitude with 0.6472 p.u. value ( $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ ), medium magnitude with 0.4 p.u. value ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ), small magnitude with
0.2472 p.u. value ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{sm}}$ ), and zero magnitude space vectors. The angle between adjacent space vectors is $36^{\circ}$ which is half the angle of spatial displacement of the five-phase machine windings. o. 3 and $x y$ space vectors are shown in Figs. 3 and 4.


Fig. 3 Five-phase VSl phase voltage space vectors in the $\alpha-\beta$ plane.


Fig. 4 Five-phase VSI phase voltage space vectors in the x - y plane.

The number of active space vectors, either for $\alpha \beta$ or xy -subspaces, which can be utilized for each sector in a five-phase VSI is four. Thus, two large and two medium space vectors are used for each sector[19].
The switching pattern and the sequence of the space vectors that utilize two medium and two large neighboring space vectors for sector-I in $\alpha \beta$-subspace is shown in Fig. 5. The remaining sectors for the two subspaces can be constructed in a similar manner.


Fig. 5 Switching pattern (sector-D) with utilization of medium and large neighboring space vectors for $\alpha \beta$-subspace.

The times $t_{t_{0}}, t_{\mathrm{amm}}, t_{\mathrm{bm}}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{t}_{d}}$, and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{b} t}$ are the times of application of zero, medium, and large space vectors. These times can be calculated using the method mentioned in reference [17]. These times control the width of the on/off states of the ten inverter switches during each switching cycle ( $t_{s}$ ), i.e, control the switching pulses width (PWM).

A Simulink model for the generation of the inverter switching pulses in the $\alpha \beta$ and xy planes for the five-phase VSI can be constracted using Figs. 4 and 5 , the switching patterns for the ten sectors for the two subspaces, and the equations that calculate the times of application of different space vectors.
The whole Simulink model of the VSI supply system is shown in Fig. 6.
Where:
$v_{s 1}^{*}$ is the reference voltage for $\alpha \beta$-plane switching pulses generator.
$v_{s 2}^{*}$ is the reference voltage for xy-plane switching pulses generator.
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is the operating frequency of machine- k .
stk is a trigger pulse to prevent sudden change of the space vector angle of machine-k.


Fig. 6 The whole Simulink model of the VSI supply system.

The five-phase output voltages from this model are transformed to qd and xy components in order to match with the dq model of the five-phase series-connected two-motor system. This transformation is done using the abc/a $\beta$ decoupling power invariant transformation matrix $[1,2]$.

## 4. PD-like fuzzy + I speed controller

In this work two Proportional Derivative (PD) fuzzy + Integral (I) speed controllers are used to do the task of closed loop control of the two-motor drive. The simulink model of this PD-like fuzzy + I controller is shown in Fig.7. The fuzzy part of this controller, i.e., PD-controller, has to deal with two signals, the notmalized error $\dot{e}(t)$ and the change of normalized error $\Delta \mathrm{e}(t)$ signals. For any pair of these two signals, it should work out the required control normalized command signal $\dot{\mathrm{v}}_{\text {sk }}$.

The Direction control output of this model is used for speed direction control. If it is equal to 1 , the motor will rotate in a specified direction, while, if it is -1 , a
reverse rotation results. The idea beyond this is through changing the sign of the angular frequency. This is equivalent to motor supply phase sequence changing. If this output is zero, the motor will slop.
From this model:
$\mathrm{K}_{n}$ is the normalization factor.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{dn}}$ is the denormalization factor.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the proportional gain factor.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is the derivative gain factor.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the integral gain factor.
This PD-like fuzzy + I controller has an integrator with positive edge reset of the signal "Start". This reset is important in the case of speed reversal. The reset will be accomplished near zero speed.


Fig. 7 Complete simulink model of the PD-like fuzzy $\leq$ I controller with direction control for machine-k.

Trial and error method is used to estimate the factors $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{dn}}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}$, and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Values of $1 / 1950,0.65,1,6500$, and 6.2 respectively are found to fairly satisfy fuil range of speed under different operating conditions.

Triangular and half trapezoidal membership functions are used for the input and out of the fuzzy part. The inpul membership functions for both machines are shown in Fig.8.


Fig. 8 The input membership functions.
where:
$\mu(\dot{e})$ is the membership degree of the normalized input error signal e. $\mu(\Delta \dot{e})$ is the nembership degree of the normalized change of error signal $\Delta \dot{e}$.

N is the Negative membership function. Z is the Zero membership function. $P$ is the Positive membership function.

The output membership functions of the PD-like fuzzy part are shown in Fig.9.


Fig. 9 The output membership functions.
where:
$\mu\left(\dot{v}_{s}\right)$ is the membership degree of the normalized control signal $\dot{v}_{5}$.
NB is the Negative Big membership function.
PB is the Positive Big membership function.

In the second stage of the firzy controller, the normalized error and change
of error signals are processed using 9 rules (3*3) as shown in Table-1.
Table-1 Rules of the fuzzy logic

| controller. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta S$ | $N$ | $Z$ | $P$ |
| $N$ | $N B$ | $N$ | $Z$ |
| $Z$ | $N$ | $Z$ | $P$ |
| $P$ | $Z$ | $P$ | $P B$ |

Fig. 10 Complete simulink model of the system under consideration.

Where blocks B1 and B2 are used to sense the reference speeds (Ref, and $\mathrm{Ref}_{2}$ ) variations, load torques ( $\mathrm{T}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{12}$ ) variations and speeds ( $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) reversal to generate puises start ${ }_{1}$ and $s t_{l}$ for for machine-2 control.

Finally, in the defuzzyfication stage a crisp value of the output variable $\dot{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is obtained using the centre of area method.

The whole simulink/Matlab model of the two series-connected machines (modeled in dq stationary reference frame as given in Eqs.(3) and (4)), inverter supply system and the two controllers is shown in Fig. 10 .


Phase ' $a$ ' voltages of machine- 1 and machine-2 with their fundamental figures, white color, shown in Figs. 11 and 12 when $\mathrm{f}_{1}=\mathrm{f}_{2}=50 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{sl}}^{*}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s} 2}^{*}=0.5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{u}$. prove applicability of the inverter in generating the required ac voltages.


Fig. 11 Phase 'a' voltage of machine- 1 , total and fundamental.


Fig. 12 Phase ' a ' voltage of machine-2, total and fundamental.

In the first test regarding the motors operation, the two machines are started in the same direction toward their set speeds. Machine-2 starting is delayed by 0.4 sec . Then different speed transients are initiated for the two machines. The speed responses of the two machines shown in Fig. 13 prove the ability of the controller to successfully guides both machines to their final speeds. Furthermore, Speed responses (Fig.13), torques (Fig.14), currents $j_{\text {as }}$ and $i_{a s 2}$ (Fig.15) show that starting or speed transient of any machine has no influence on the other. This proves the goal of
decoupling of control of the two seriesconnected machines. Also, Fig. 15 shows that the VSI supply frequency changes proportionally with the speed set point.


Fig. 13 Rotor speed with sudden change in reference speed at no-load condition.


Fig. 14 Electromagnetic developed torques with sudden change in reference speed at no-load condition.


Fig. 15 Instantaneous currents $i_{\text {as }}$ and $i_{a s 2}$ at starting and speed transients.

In the second test, the two machines are started in opposite directions and speed reversals are initiated for them when they reach their steady state speeds, see Fig. 16.


Fig. 16 Rotor speed with sudden change in reference speed at no-joad condition.

Finally, both machines are subjected to sudden full load application and removal. The test results are shown in Figs. 17 and 18. These two figures prove that the controlier will successfully do its role to gain back machincs set speeds after load transients. Also, load transient of one machine will not affect the other,


Fig. 17 Rotor speed of machine-1 and machine-2 under sudden full load application and removal.


Fig. 18 Electronagnetic developed torque under sudden application and removal of full load torque.

## 6. Conclusions

The feasibility and applicability of a series-connected two-motor drive has been studied in this paper. One of the main benefits of this connection is the full control decoupling between the motors in the group despite of connection to a common VSI.

A simulink/Matlab model for a fivephase series-connected two-motor drive system suppiied from SVPWM VSI and controlled by PD-like fuzzy + I controller has been derived and tested.

Simulation resuits show that good performance is achieved even with hard transients such as starting, large speed step change, speed reversal, and sudden full load/unload conditions. Also, these resuits prove the decoupling of control of the two machines.

A comparison between the results gained using the proposed controller with previous work[2] using rotor field oriented control, shows that both methods serve well for the sake of gaining the set-point speed as shown in Fig.19. But, one can see that the proposed method is more efficient in reaching the final set-point with no overshoot and almost no steady state error.


Fig. 19 Comparison of rotor speed for twomotor drive using proposed controller with that gained in a previous work.

## 7. References

1. E. Levi, A. Igbal, S. N. Vukosavic, and H. A. Toliyat, "Modeling and control of a five-phase series-connected two-motor drive", Industrial Electronics society, 2003. IECON ${ }^{\circ}$ 03. The $29^{\text {th }}$ Annual conference of the IEEE, Vol. 1, 2-6 November 2003, PP.208-213.
2. E. Levi, M. Jones, S. N. Vukosavic, A. Iqbal, and H. A. Toliyat, "Modeling, control, and experimental investigation of a five-pbase series-connected two-motor drive with single inverter supply", IEEE Transaction on Industrial Electronics, Vol.54, No.3, June 2007, PP.1504-1516.
3. S. Williamson and S. Smith, "Pulsating torques and losses in multiphase induction machines", IEEE Transaction on Industrial Applications, Vol.39, No.4, Jui./Aug. 2003, PP.986-993.
4. E. Levi, M. Jones, S. N. Vukosavic, and H. A. Toliyat, "Operating principles of a novel multiphase multimotor vectorcontrolled drive", IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, Vol.19, No.3, September 2004, PP. 508-517.
5. A. Iqbal, E. Levi, M. Jones, and H. A. Toliyat, "Dynamics of a series-connected two-motor five-phase system with a singleinverter supply", Industry Applications Conference, 2005, Fortieth IAS Annual

Meeting. Conference Record of the 2005 , Vol.2, 2-6 October 2005, PP. 1081-1088.
6. M. Jones, E. Levi, and A. Iqbal, " A fivephase series-connected two-motor drive with current control in the rotating reference frame", IEEE 35th Annual Power Electronics Specialists Conference, 2004. PESC04. Vol.5, 20-25 June 2004, PP.32783284.
7. M. Jones, S. N. Vukosavic, E. Levi, and A. Iqbal, "A six-phase series-connected two-motor drive with decoupled dynamic control", IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, Vol.41, No.4, July/August 2005, PP. 1056-1066.
8. E. Levi, M. Jones, and S. N. Vukosavic, "Even-phase multi-motor vector controlled drive with single inverter supply and series connection of stator windings", IEE Proceedings on Electrical power Applications, Vol.150, No.5, September 2003, PP.580-590.
9. E. Levi, M. Jones, and S. N. Vukosavic,
"A series-connected two-motor six-phase drive with induction and pennanent magnet machines", LEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, Vol.21, No.1, March 2006, PP.121-129.
10. M. Jones, S. N. Vukosavic, and E. Jevi, "Independent vector control of a six-phase series-connected two-motor drive", Power Electronics, Machides and Drives, 2004 (PEMD 2004), Second International Conference on (Conf. Pupl. No.498), Vol. 2 March-April 2004, PP.879-884.
11. E. Levi, S. N. Vukosavic, and M. Jones, "Vector control schemes for seriesconnected six-phase two-motor drive systems", IEE Proceedings on Electrical power Applications, Vol.152, No.2, March 2005, PP.226-238.
12. M. Jones, S. N. Vukosavic, E. Levi, and A. Iqbal, " A novel six-phase seriesconnected two-motor drive with decoupling dynamic control", Industry Applications Conference, 2004, $39^{\text {㗐 }}$ IAS Annual Meeting. Conference Record of the 2004 IEEE, Vol.1, 3-7 October 2004, PP.639646.
13. M. Jones, E. Levi, S. N. Vukosavic, and H. A. Toliyat, "Independent vector control of a seven-phase three-motor drive system supplied from a single voltage source inverter", Power Electronics Specialists Conference, 2003. PESC ' 03. 2004 IEEE $34^{\text {th }}$ Arnual, Vol.4, 15-19 June 2003, PP. $1865-1870$.
14. M. J. Duran, E. Levi, and M. Jones, "Independent vector control of asymmetrical nine-phase machines by means of senies connection", 2005 IEEE International Conference on Electric Machines and Drives, 15-18 May 2005, PP.167-173.
15. S. Kumar, B. Singh, and J. K. Chatlerjee," Fuzzy logic based speed controller for vector controlled cage induction motor drive", Tencon '98. 1998 IEEE region 10 International Conference on Global Connectivity in Energy, Computer, Comnunication and Control, Vol.2, 17-19 December 1998, PP. 419-423.
16. E. Levi, M. Jones, S. N. Vukosavic, and H. A. Toliyat, "A novel concept of a multiphase, multinotor vector controiled drive system supplied from a single voltage source inverter", IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, Vol.19, No.2, March 2004, PP. 320-335.
17. A. Iqbal and E. Levi, "Space vector PWM for a five-phase VSI supplying two five-phase series-connected machines", $12^{\text {th }}$ International power Electronics and Motion Control Conference, August 2006, PP.222227.
18. A. Iqbal and E. Levi," Space vector modulation schemes for a five-phase voltage source inverter", European Conference on Power Electronics and Applications, 11-14 Sept. 2005, PP.1-12. 19. J. W. Kelly, E. G. Strangas, and J. M. Miller, "Multiphase space vector pulse width modulation", IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, Vol.j8, No.2, June 2003, PP.259-264.

## 8. Appendix

Two similar five-phase induction motors are used which have the following per phase data:

| Parameter | value | unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of poles | 4 |  |
| Frequency | 50 | Hz |
| Voltage | 110 | V |
| Full load | $14 / 5$ | Nm . |
| $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ | 0.78 | S |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\underline{t}}$ | 0.66 | $\Omega$ |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\text {ds }}$ | 3.45 | mH |
| $L_{4}$ | 3.45 | mH |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | 29.7 | mH |
| J | 0.0435 | Kg.m ${ }^{2}$ |
| F | 0.005 | Nm.sec |

